20 April 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT

: Transmittal of Letter to Secretary of Defense

The attached letter was prepared under, and is intended to include, consideration of the following ground rules:

- 1. That you had made a commitment to furnish your ideas to Secretary of Defense.
- 25X1A
- 2. Appropriate deference should be made to the conclusions of statement study, already given to Secretary of Defense.
- 3. A reference to the possible use of non-nuclear Polaris missiles must be made, however, without your complete and unequivocal commitment to that course of action.
- 4. That the primary responsibility for running the VN war rests with DOD.
- 5. Nothing should be included which violates basic fact or feasibility, either in the intelligence or technical information.

- 6. Something new and constructive must be included. (In this regard, the systematic, repeatedly evaluated approach represents the major new idea.)
- 7. There should be included no criticism of DOD either direct or implied, except that unavoidably associated with making what we consider as a good suggestion which has not yet been tried.
- 8. Complete objectivity must be maintained throughout the letter.

I believe that the attached letter meets and exists within all of the above ground rules. Furthermore, I believe that the letter is provocative, non-trivial, and will contribute toward the solution of a difficult but serious problem. I recommend that you sign the attached letter.

25X1A

Chief, Systems Analysis Staff DD/S&T

Approved For Pelease 2001/08/07: CIA-RDP80B01138A000100010015-7

THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE WASHINGTON, D. C. 20505

The Honorable Robert S. McNamara Secretary of Defense Department of Defense Washington, D. C.

Dear Bob:

As an outgrowth of our meeting on 5 March 1966 and because of my real concern about the capability for supplies being delivered to the Viet Cong, I have had my staff take a look at the problem. Our concern has been centered around the objective of increasing the difficulty of resupply rather than seeking complete stoppage. Intelligence information tells us that material reaching a VC unit from other than SVN sources is collected at one of several depots in South China, is transshipped to Hanoi by two main rail routes (or by sea), is transported by road or rail down NVN to a series of routes from southern NVN into Laos, down the Laotian panhandle and thence through a series of routes into distribution points within SVN, from where internal distribution takes place. If the source is USSR, the economic material is introduced by sea to Hanoi and military equipment by rail through China, and then follows the rest of the route south. On the surface, this is a very complex logistical supply line. However, the total amount, currently estimated at a few tons per day, which travels all the way into SVN is small, both in absolute magnitude and as compared to the road capacity. Additionally, the characteristics of the material -- small arms, ammunition, medical supplies, etc. -- facilitate packaging adaptable to small or large trucks or to human carriage. Since the total amount taken into SVN is small compared to what enters NVN by rail or ship from China or USSR, very large reductions, by attack, of depots in North Vietnam would appear necessary to affect the thruput into SVN. Furthermore, attacks on the relatively extensive

> TS-194748-66 Copy No.

road system of NVN would need to be very severe to impact the small number of trucks per day moving south for SVN. There are, however, several relative choke points in and near the Laotian traverse where attacks might be examined. Obviously, the distribution points within SVN, when and if located, become very high value targets since they represent a large logistical investment. It must be remembered, however, that the material imports represent only a relatively small fraction of the needs of the VC, the rest of which is derived locally.

With respect to the choke points, there appears currently to be three main ones. The Mu Gia pass is one of these three. The recent attack by B-52's on this pass must be considered as a large first step toward impacting the logistical structure. Continuing these attacks appears useful if they are augmented by a comprehensive reconnaissance effort designed to evaluate the impact on a day-to-day basis. With this rapid feedback system, newer and perhaps more effective weapons can be given a calibratable test. Though similar efforts during WW-II and Korea were not, in general, completely successful, it is just possible that with the newer weapons, large increments in increased difficulties might result. Taking maximum advantage of the geography, one might use the tripwire anti-personnel mine, the Dragontooth, penetrating cratering bombs for road disruption; etc. Among the more novel newer weapons might be transponders planted along a road which under all weather conditions locate and mark operating trucks for offset homing weapons. There are several others. For example, if and when defenses are injected, these might be stifled or destroyed by a non-nuclear modified Polaris which, I am told, could be configured to provide accurate all-weather destruction areas of appreciable size. We have performed an in-house study which indicates that there is reason for some optimism, if not now, at least in the next year or two. In any event, the

> TS-194748 Copy Page 2

25X1¢

TOP SECRET

concept of an experimental, iterative approach appears useful, primarily in that increased problems are presented to the legistical system. The manpower and effort to maintain the road and rail system can be drastically increased by heavier attacks, purhaps to the point where invocas on the throught occur, but much more likely to the point where appreciable expansion of traffle might not be considered worthwhile by the North Viotanmene.

A complete explicated study on the problem of attacking splintenly the logistical system is beyond our capability or responsibilities, but we would be glad to assist you in whatever way is desired.

Sincerely,

W. F. Rabera Director

Distribution:

Copies 1&2 - Addressee

- 3 DDCI
- 4 DD/I
- 5 D/DCI/NIPE
- 6 DD/S&T
- 7 DCI Signee File
- 8 ER

SAS/DD/S&T:

n:ccj (19 April)

25X1A

Tt=194748 Copy Page 3

¥				IOP	SECRE							
INCLASSIFIED	when blank	pproved E	orwRefeat	seh2001	108/87 019	CPACP	RDP80B	of 1388 pot to the	9160165 ^{SECRE}	r when		
led in form is	detached fro	m controlled	gocument.					DOCUMENT				
DOCUMEN									REGISTRY			
DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION							CIA Control No	CIA Control No.				
ource							TS194748					
oc. No.								Date Documen	t Received			
c. Date 19	April 19	66										
py No.	5							Logged By				
imber of Pages												
imber of Attach	nments											
fied Top Secret of CIA. Access	t within the CIA to Top Secret r	and will remain matter is limited	n attached t	et Control p	nent until : iersonnei a. Seeret mat	nd those	e individuals	d by the Central Intell downgraded, destroyed s whose official duties form and indicate peri the date of handling in	relate to the matt	er. Top he left-		
EFERRED TO RECEIVE				RELEASED		SEEN BY						
Office	Sign	nature	Date	Time	Date T	ime		Signature	Office/Div.	Date		
NIPE/SAG	25X1A				1/8/2	3						
TSCO/IC			2	9/23								
RC	4											
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
								<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>				
-												
										-		
										+		
NOTICE OF DI	ETACHMENT: W	then this form i	s detached f	rom Top Se	ecret mater	ial It sh	nail be comp	oleted in the appropria	te spaces below a	nd trar		
	DESTROYED					DISPATCHED (OUTSIDE CIA)						
то			BY (Signature)					то				
By (Signature)			WITNESSED BY (Signature)					BY (Signature)				
By (Signature)								l e		OFFICE DATE		